PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 46684.WO01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/005092	International filing date (day/month/year) 03 December 2004 (03.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05 December 2003 (05.12.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant CAMBRIDGE BIOTECHNOLOGY	LIMITED				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
Box No. II Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opini applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
· 	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).						
L							
			Date of issuance of this report 07 June 2006 (07:06.2006)				
	The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Authorized officer Nora Lindner				
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 65				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 5 MAY 2005 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY **WIPO** PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 05.12.2003 PCT/GB2004/005092 03.12.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07H19/167 Applicant CAMBRIDGE BIOTECHNOLOGY LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. 1 ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Bardili, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2132



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005092

	Вох	No.	. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	reg angi	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	reg ssa	pard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe c	of material:
]	a sequence listing
] t	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rma	at of material:
) i	in written format
) i	in computer readable form
	c. tir	ne d	of filing/furnishing:
		3	contained in the international application as filed.
	E	.	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	Ε]	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	litior	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005092

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,					
⊠	claims Nos. 32,33,35,37,38					
bec	because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 32,33,35,37,38 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
. 🗆	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished -			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls ·			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/005092

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-31,40

No: Claims

34,36,39

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-31

No: Claims

40

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-31,34,36,39,40

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

ij

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The claims 32, 33, 35 do not specify the technical features of the invention (Rule 6.2 (a) PCT).

The use claims 37 and 38 define neither the reagents nor the reaction steps required to perform the synthesis of compound (I) or (II).

An examination of these claims is not possible.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Spongosine and its derivatives as claimed in claim 34 are comprised in the prior art, see D1/ J. Med. Chem. 34, 1334 (1991), table I. The intermediates of formula (II) as claimed in claim 36 are also not new in the light of D2/ J. Org. Chem. 31, 3258 (1966) (compound XII) and D3/ J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 3738 (1958) (compound VII).

Claim 39 is not clearly distinguished over the known method to nitrate a substituted adenosine as disclosed in D4/ Tetrahedr. Lett. 41, 1291 (2000) by the claim feature "reducing the amount of TBA or TMA impurities contaminating the substituted adenosine". Although the recovery of the nitrated adenosine is not disclosed in detail in the reference, it is evident that the recovery of the product forms part of the known synthesis. The isolation of the product leads to a reduction of the amount of contaminating TBA in the final product. The subject-matter of claim 39 therefore lacks novelty.

Claim 40 specifies the purification of the nitrated adenosine after synthesis. The steps mentioned in the claim are based on generally applicable methods to purify an organic compound (trituration, washing with solvents). The product yield is lower than in the reference due to the washing steps (58% in step 3 - 71% according to the reference). A

skilled person would expect that the product yield will be decreased by the washing steps. In the absence of any surprising effect inventive step cannot be acknowledged.

2. The method of claim 1 to prepare spongosine and its derivatives is novel over the prior art since a compound of formula (II) is not used as an intermediate for spongosine in the state of the art (compare D1 which uses a 2-chloroadenosine derivative; D5/ Nucleosides, Nucleotides 14, 1889 (1995) using a 2-sulfone derivative of adenosine).

The applicants demonstrated that according to their method high yields of spongosine are obtained. Since the prior art does not disclose reactions immediately comparable with the method of claim 1 the usefulness of the claimed synthesis could not have been expected at the filing date of the application. The method of claim 1 therefore possess inventive step.

Re item Vill

Certain observations on the international application

Claim 1 lacks clarity since it only defines the staring material and the product without indicating the other reagents needed for the synthesis.

Similar objections apply to claims 9, 15, 22, 27.

The abbreviations used in claims 39 and 40 are not self-explanatory and render the claim language unclear.